

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/541,391	03/31/2000	Rick Dedrick	042390.P7954	3488
75	90 11/04/2002			
Donna Jo Coningsby Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			ABDI, KAMBIZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, e.			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/541,391	DEDRICK ET AL.		
,	Examin r	Art Unit		
	Kambiz Abdi	3621		
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunicati n app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a		
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	=			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (a)	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 				
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the		
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-14,16-22 and 24</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ / disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper(No(s).			
IO Cher	, , (, , =			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER ST

SUPERVIS

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The canceled claims have been incorporated into the existing claims which does not put the claimes in an allowable position. Additionally the amended claims do not place the claims in a better condition for allowance. The amended claimes raise new issues such as "if the discount step is not current". There is a need for further examination to determine the allowability of the claims as they have been presented in the latest amended forms.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There is a need for further examination to determine the allowability of the claims as they have been presented in the latest amended forms.